

Oregon Statewide Jural Assembly

OSJA, General Delivery, Beaverton, Oregon [97005]; Contact: Ron Vrooman at ronvrooman38@gmail.com, www.ORSJA.org
For the Jurors of our Grand Jury of August 26, 2024 Ballot for Cause 08262024-GJBallot 7-OR Statehood Docs

No. 7. Oregon's de jure Statehood Documents circa 1849 unavailable

On or about June 2019 Deputy Sec of State Richard Vial and Archives Director Stephanie Clark made an appointment with our Court of four. Roger Tabb, Al Brown, Ray Senkel, and Ron Vrooman made an appointment to view Oregon's Statehood Documents and to find proof to validate the change made to ARTICLE VII of November 8, 1910.

Neither of them was forthcoming when our Court arrived.

Assuming this might happen, we served our Declaration upon the Oregon Senate, the office of Sec. of State, and the office of the Attorney General mentioned with our Claim against ARTICLE VII.

My Living Testimony in the form of an Affidavit of truth (LTA)

: I am.

I am 18 years of age or older; a man or woman on the land, soil, on Oregon, without the State of Oregon aka STATE OF OREGON, within The United States of America, the Constitutional republican form of government.

I am one of the people – a living mind-body-blood-flesh-soul-spirit being.

I am a party to Oregon's Constitution: ARTICLE I, Section 1's social compact; ARTICLE III Civilian common law Court of record; Amendment VII in suits in common law, whose verdict is without appeal in fact.

I am in the de jure original jurisdiction that honors the Laws of nature and of Nature's God.

I am jura summa imperii (rights of sovereignty or supreme dominion), as a man or woman with my progeny. Nunc pro tunc (now for then).

Sworn or affirmed before me.

What I am not:

Not a U.S. Citizen. Not a foreign agent or with an oath to a foreign entity, such as an Oregon State Bar association.

I am not a federal corporate governance employee. Not in active military. Not a sworn law enforcement or peace officer. Not a member of a city, county, state or federal court.

Sign Name in RED ink: _____

(RED THUMBPRINT HERE over name, date)

Print Name in BLUE ink: _____

Date: _____

Jurat

[We will fill this section out. Signatures in red, printed names and date in blue below this section]

Today, in the presence of two (2) men/ women who serve as witness to the man or woman on the land and soil of Oregon who testified above, is sworn or affirmed before me and for the purpose as described. Wherefore I set my hand and thumb print to seal this 26th day of August, 2024.

Witness Signatures

(Sign in red) _____

(Print in blue) _____

(Date in blue) _____

(Sign in red) _____

(Print in blue) _____

(Date in blue) _____

No. 7. Oregon's de jure Statehood Documents

Oregon Statewide Jural Assembly

OSJA, General Delivery, Beaverton, Oregon [97005]; Contact: Ron Vrooman at ronvrooman38@gmail.com, www.ORSJA.org
For the Jurors of our Grand Jury of August 26, 2024 Ballot for Cause 08262024-GJBallot 7-OR Statehood Docs

Evidential Explanation

Briefly, the State of Oregon sells copies of the 1857 Oregon Constitution for 75 dollars and that is alleged to be what was sent to Washington, D.C. There is no evidence to substantiate this claim.

Matthew Deady, an attorney and Oregon historian, wrote two large books published by the official press for Oregon History. He writes in both books that he saw the statehood documents and that Amendment 13, anti-Title of Nobility Act, was not included. Both books were published after Grant and Lee signed an armistice.

Therefore, we know these statehood documents made it back to Oregon. Deputy Secretary of State Richard Vial, however, claimed no knowledge of where they were or how to produce them. Stephanie in State Archives remained silent.

At best, the office of Sec. of State's Deputy Richard Vial -- no longer with the Sec. of State -- could not, would not, and did not abide by the viewing at our pre-arranged showing.

The current Deputy Secretary of State, Cheryl Myers, will not provide a proper oath and she will not validate ARTICLE VII nor will she permit a viewing of our Statehood document.

This looks like a double paradox: ARTICLE VII (Amended) – which is in our Constitution and should not be. This is juxtaposed to Amendment XIII -- which is not in our Statehood documents and should be.