

Oregon Statewide Jural Assembly

OSJA, General Delivery, Beaverton, Oregon [97005]; Contact: Ron Vrooman at ronvrooman38@gmail.com, www.ORSJA.org

Petit Trial Agenda

Cause No. 10212024-Petit Trial. Issues 2 thru 10

[set up tents, tables, chairs]

Tonja asks for attendees to clearly print their first and last name on the sign-in sOn September 23rd, 2024, we held an Arraignment and Petit Trial on the first issue was regarding the Oregon Department of Corrections and specifically-named persons. That unanimous verdict was determined, verified and recorded.

Now we are here at 10 AM (in the rain) outside the Marion County Courthouse, 100 High Street on Salem, to complete the remaining 9 ballot issues voted on by our Grand Jury.

Tonja will you please call 12 people who are present and signed up on our jury pool list plus two alternatives? I will also note that we have some jury pool members present on SKYPE who were unable to travel for this proceeding.

We will proceed by a first call to anyone or their counsel or representative referred to in these openly shared and distributed ballot issues who is present and wishes to speak on your behalf.

[pause] None answered, this is a second call for any who wish to object, defend or speak on their behalf.

In common law, if you are a “no show”, a Petit Trial commences immediately. We will proceed.

I will now read issues from our True Bill one by one. After each of the 9 issues I will hand the microphone to Tonja, our Court Foreman, who will take your vote when your name is called. We will do this for each of the issues until we are done. For those who are present, not on the selected jury and wish to vote, I request you raise you hand at the end of each vote-taking to be added to the votes of the jury for the record.

Jury, when you vote, make your statement loud and clear for the record.

[votes taken]

Thank you for your presence – especially those who have traveled many miles across our great state, Oregon. By your participation today, you have taken another step in our responsibility for correction in the de facto governance and toward establishing our own self-government, for your benefit and for the benefit of others. Every voice matters and your efforts at being present are greatly appreciated!!

Petit Trial Sign-up

Monday, October 21, 2024

Print your first and last name, clearly please

1. Charlie White
2. Cynthia Palmatier
3. Laura Runion
4. Kim Sweager
5. Eric Dover
6. Ron Vrooman
7. Tonja Swift
8. William Appel
9. Thomas E Siniscal
10. Rob Souza
11. Cathleen Christensen
12. Anthony Devine
13. Anne Byer
14. Sam Shadow
15. John Mahoney
16. Patricia Ann House ^{OTM}
17. William D Ryan
18. Philip Dallison
19. GARY DADBY
20. Robert Graves
21. Keith John Campbell
22. _____
23. _____
24. _____
25. _____
26. _____
27. _____
28. _____
29. _____
30. _____

Petit Trial Jury Selection
& additional pool members
Monday, October 21, 2024

Print your first and last name, clearly please

1. William Bryan
2. Kim Sweager
3. Sam Shadow
4. Rod Souza
5. ~~Ella~~ Catherine Huddleston
6. Gary Darby
7. Robert Graves
8. Ann Byer
9. Patricia House
10. Ron Vrooman
11. Eric Dover
12. William Appel
13. Cynthia Palmatier
14. Tonja Swift
15. Anthony Devine
- 16.
- 17.
- 18.
- 19.
- 20.
- 21.
- 22.
- 23.
- 24.
- 25.
- 26.
- 27.
- 28.
- 29.
- 30.

Oregon Statewide Jural Assembly

OSJA, General Delivery, Beaverton, Oregon [97005]; Contact: Ron Vrooman at ronvrooman38@gmail.com, www.ORSJA.org
Cause 10212024-PT Issue No 2-Sheriffs Oaths

Petit Trial Verdict

No.2 Statement regarding Sheriff's Oaths:

Sheriffs are without proper oaths. We notified them lawfully the first time in 2017, and they all acquiesced to knowing ARTICLE VII (Amended) was not legitimate and had no bona fides. We have gathered several invalid oaths over the last few years. Six members of our Court went to the annual meeting of the Oregon Sheriffs Association this year in Bend. We were seeking liaison and lawful oaths. We bought two memberships and lunch for 6. Our money was returned and we were excluded. We posted our paper including a proper oath on the Marion County Courthouse bulletin board for 30 days. After seven years and several cycles of Sheriffs, not one has taken a proper oath.

Therefore: they have 30 days to take their proper de jure, lawful oath or vacate the office as they fail due process and have no honor and cannot hold a position of trust.

Evidential Explanation

The Oregon Sheriffs Association, as well as each Sheriff in office, have been notified several times over for the last 7 years by signed, sealed, stamped, witnessed with proof of service instruments. We have met face-to-face with several and they will not yield to de jure. They remain de facto without a proper oath. We have not received one rebuttal from any of them or their Association or counsel.

We recently presented the Sheriffs on Yamhill, Washington, Marion, Lane, Linn counties and several others previously, offering multiple opportunities to self-correct. None have complied.

ORS 206 specifically defines the responsibilities of our Sheriffs. Then the HR departments of the Counties add their requirements. Through their Association, we demanded their proper bona fides -- with no response. Additionally, each Sheriff has been telephoned and emailed many times by several or our members. Specifically, both the Washington County office of Sheriff and Sheriff Candace Massey has failed to comply as recently as August, 2024. She was a "test case" as we have multiple issues with Sheriff Massey.

The verdict of this Petit Jury found that the Sheriffs on Oregon must take a proper oath to the proper Constitutions circa 1859 with God included and is hereby verified and witnessed by:

Court Clerk *Ronald Charles Valente* this day 10/21, 2024

Court Foreman *Tanya Swift* 21 this day Oct, 2024

Anne Byer
1. Anne Byer 10/21/2024 2. *Cynthia Palmatier*
Cynthia Palmatier 10/21/2024

Oregon Statewide Jural Assembly

OSJA, General Delivery, Beaverton, Oregon [97005]; Contact: Ron Vrooman at ronvrooman38@gmail.com, www.ORSJA.org
Cause 10212024-PT Issue No 3-Sheriffs. Foreclosure. Evictions

Petit Trial Verdict

No.3. Sheriffs wrongly support tax-driven State of Oregon foreclosures and evictions:

In our Article III, Amendment VII Civilian common law court, we need to focus on the county Sheriff's foreclosure on private property for delinquent property taxes. Every Sheriff's primary and highest form of duty is to protect the people from our government. The Sheriff should be supporting the de jure rather than the de facto when considering whether to uphold the foreclosure of private property and evicting home owners. The ever-increasing property tax minimum of 3% per year eventually becomes unaffordable and the selling price becomes "highest bidder, "rather than market value. In the end, the homeowner loses not only his equity, but his home. This must be corrected.

Evidential Explanation

In the disposition of excess gain over and above the related delinquent property tax, interest, penalties etc., fair market value must be established and all excess value be tendered to the former property owner and/or his/her family. It must be established whether the imposition of tax on private property, is even lawful.

In the case of delinquent mortgage payments leading to possible foreclosure, it must be that a quiet title lawsuit be filed against the lending agency to be forced to produce evidence the mortgage was proper, lawful and with the necessary UCC-1 signed paperwork. Said paperwork must be provided to show evidence that there is a proper title.

The verdict of this Petit Jury found that the Sheriffs on Oregon must serve to protect the people and their property rather than as an acting arm of the de facto governance and is hereby verified and witnessed by:

Court Clerk *Ronald Charles Vrooman* this day 10/21, 2024

Court Foreman *Tonja Swift* 21 this day Oct, 2024

1. *Anne Byer 10/21/2024* 2. *Cynthia Palmatier 10/21/2024*

Oregon Statewide Jural Assembly

OSJA, General Delivery, Beaverton, Oregon [97005]; Contact: Ron Vrooman at ronvrooman38@gmail.com, www.ORSJA.org

Cause 10212024-PT Issue No 4-FCC Smart Meters. Surveillance. Sheriffs

Petit Trial Verdict

No. 4. FCC Smart Meters, Smart Bulbs, Smart Appliances and surveillance

The Smart Meter is the Trojan horse on your wall. We have all been lied to and deceived about Smart Meters being efficient, safe and reliable. These devices are actually radiating radio signals (i.e., radiation), are therefore injurious to our health, can ignite fires and are a violation of our 4th amendment right, with the only advantage being to the electric companies who no longer need to employ people to "read meters". These revenue-generating meters "read" your "Smart" appliances and "Smart" bulbs (those squiggly bulbs that contain mercury and are NOT to be landfilled, but included in hazmat refuse). This technology has been forced on the masses most of whom seem oblivious of their potential harm and of the deceptive practices of the power companies.

Evidential Explanation

Led or Smart light bulbs and lighting, although energy efficient and longer-lasting than a standard incandescent or CFL light bulb, comes with bad news regarding your health. If you look at your Smart Meter, you will see FCC on the label. That means it is a radiation-emitting radio signal. More information and studies are becoming available to the consumer regarding their effect on our sleep patterns and overall health due to emissions of their light and frequencies. Smart phones, tablets, tv's etc., add to the deleterious – even harmful effects of these technologies. Here is a link to an AMA report that Affirms Human Health Impacts from LEDs.

<https://darksky.org/news/ama-report-affirms-human-health-impacts-from-leds/>

There is so much proof and evidence now about the health hazards of these technologies, the FCC has little-to-no credibility in their justifying its pervasive use. LED technology is also be used for surveillance purposes.

When you have a technology that can record anything, video and or audio, under the cover of efficiency and smart choice, you have an issue with the 4th amendment and your privacy. The book, The Invisible Rainbow by Arthur Firstenberg reveals an in-depth look into the EMF and health issues of this technology and what it's really being used for.

Here is another valuable and valid reference.



<https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/led-lightbulb-concerns/>

Support and information concerning these issues can also be found at: inpowermovement.org

The verdict of this Petit Jury found that the Sheriffs on Oregon must serve to protect the people and their property rather than as an acting arm of the de facto governance and is hereby verified and witnessed by:

Court Clerk  this day 10/21, 2024

Court Foreman  zc this day oct, 2024

1.  Anne Byer 10/21/2024 2.  Cynthia Palmatier 10/21/2024

Oregon Statewide Jural Assembly

OSJA, General Delivery, Beaverton, Oregon [97005]; Contact: Ron Vrooman at ronvrooman38@gmail.com, www.ORSJA.org
Cause 10212024-PT Issue No.5-OR State Police. Sheriffs. Lavoy

Petit Trial Verdict

No.5 Oregon State Police (OSP) is post-November 8, 1910, de facto, illegal & illegitimate Oregon State Police was created August 1, 1931. Because it was created post-November 8, 1910, it is de facto, illegal and illegitimate. That makes it unlawful ab initio, that is, since the beginning. Fraud vitiates all contracts partially or completely. We know ARTICLE VII WAS AMENDED. There is no evidence of it being properly amended and published in our Constitution. The State Archives is unable to provide proof of ARTICLE VII (Amended) being lawfully added to our Constitution when inquired of in 2018, and as recently as July, 2024. When a claim cannot be supported it fails. The former (last two) Superintendents and the current one, Casey Coddington, have refused to address our petition for redress of grievance this last July, 2024. The Oregon State Police is bogus. The illegitimate Oregon State Police at the direction of de facto Kate Brown, acting as Governor, authorized the FBI to attend to what became Bundy et al. A homicide occurred and Robert Lavoy Finicum was killed. From its illegal inception, through the homicide of Lavoy, and up to today, the Oregon State Police is color of law, de facto and all of its employees are without legitimate funding. Their pay should be stopped and provisionally reorganized as de jure and to function temporarily with oversight from the Oregon Statewide Jural Assembly.

Evidential Explanation

The Oregon State Police (OSP) functions as printed below do not match the evidence. OSP Patrol Division is ill-trained and responds from ignorance. We have several Oregonians -- some present here today -- who will and have testified to multiple violations of multiple COPS (civilians-on-patrol, as they have no standing in law). These patrollers are without proper authority -- either commercially, or with licensed citizens operating in the jurisdiction of the 14th amendment with permission to operate a vehicle on the roads and highways or with men and women traveling without harm to others or their property. It is added here that DPSST is a co-conspirator in that the OSP operates without a proper oath, along with Oregon Sheriffs that do not have a proper oath, and are mentioned elsewhere with the Oregon Department of Corrections. As an aside, J. Kosmicki, the Chief of the Newburg-Dundee police [nice man] also does not have a proper oath, but he unearthed his oaths for us on request. Our Court of record saw "his original oath and current oath, issued by DPSST many years ago". He recognized the deception in the written words. We do not have a Constitutional republican form of government. This must cease.

The **Oregon State Police (OSP)** is a law enforcement agency (there has been no law on Oregon since November 8, 1910 only color of law; color added) of the U.S., State of Oregon. (This is word art: the subdivision of the State of Oregon aka STATE OF OREGON. To the United States of Washington, District of Columbia, INC., in some form; color added.) The OSP enforce all of Oregon's criminal laws and assists local law enforcement agencies. Casey Coddington has served as Superintendent since February 2023. The agency differs from other state police highway patrol agencies in that OSP has many other areas of specialization and responsibility. In addition to the Patrol Division, OSP has a Criminal Division (detectives, arson, explosives), SWAT, DPU (Dignitary Protection Unit), MRT (Mobile Response Team), a Forensic Services Division (crime labs), a Fish and Wildlife Division (game wardens), a Medical Examiner's Division, and it is one of the few law enforcement agencies in the United States that monitors the security of the state lottery. Oregon State Police has primary jurisdiction on state highways and all other state-owned property. (*That is a published lie. Even if OSP were legitimate, they do not have jurisdiction in de jure with 3 Constitutional exceptions.) OSP also frequently responds to incidents in rural areas when local agencies lack capacity or otherwise require assistance.

The verdict of this Petit Jury found that the Sheriffs on Oregon must serve to protect the people and their property rather than acting as an arm of the de facto governance and is hereby verified and witnessed by:

Court Clerk *Frankie Charles (Vrooman)* this day *10/21*, 2024

Court Foreman *Tanya Switt* *21* this day *Oct*, 2024

1. *Anne Byer 10/21/2024* 2. *Cynthia Palmatier 10/21/2024*

Oregon Statewide Jural Assembly

OSJA, General Delivery, Beaverton, Oregon [97005]; Contact: Ron Vrooman at ronvrooman38@gmail.com, www.ORSJA.org
Cause 10212024-PT Issue No.6-OR Constitution Access. Sheriffs

Petit Trial Verdict

No. 6. There is no evidence* that ARTICLE VII was changed lawfully after the election of November 8, 1910, as there is no record of votes counted in each County.

There was no evidence, i.e., documentation* to authorize 2nd term Sec of State Frank Benson to serve as Governor for previous Governor Chamberlain, who resigned while in office. Benson maintained his seat as Sec. of State and assumed the office of Governor at the same time - which is a Constitutional impossibility. As a result, we have two ideologies in our Oregon Constitution: de facto (Amended) and left de jure (Original) in place. From this point on it has morphed thru a duality. Which may exist to this day. That investigation has not finished? We do know every court on Oregon is de facto and without bona fides or oath. Except our ARTICLE III, Amendment VII Civilian common law Court of record.

*As published in a newspaper with a clipping of an attached photocopy, a fire was alleged to have burned the ballots. [The dog ate my homework""]

Evidential Explanation

A booklet titled "Vultures", a copy of which is published at www.orsja.org reveals the unlawfulness and deceit of the justice system.

Our Court of 4 in or about 2018 served 29 Oregon Senators, 1 had died, and also the office of Sec. of State and the office of Attorney General with our Declaration that the office of Sec. of State was unable to support their claim of the published Oregon Constitution the statehood documents or ARTICLE VII being amended in 1910.

This is just one important bit of incontrovertible evidence that we have de facto, incorporated governance in color of law since 1910. And of secondary importance ARTICLE VII after November 8, 1910 was invalid, void. A nullity.

On or about 2016, Speaker of the House Tina Kotek and President of the Senate Peter Courtney, acquiesced to a Petition for redress of Grievance filed as Ronald Charles Vrooman Private Attorney General by the United States Congress 42 U.S.C.1988 and 18 U.S.C.1510 and 18 U.S.C. 1512 and to be known as "One of the People" also "Qualified Criminal Investigator" and "Federal Witness" and by Living Testimony without rebuttal. His status was identified and was without rebuttal in the City of Beaverton public record, Beaverton Municipal Court and the United States Federal District Court Portland, Oregon. Legislative Counsel Dexter Johnson, in an unsigned writing on a letterhead wrote that there was no evidence such as I was looking for available. That took a year and a lot of follow up to get.

On or about 2016, 36 Sheriffs on Oregon acquiesced to knowing ARTICLE VII was unconstitutional and if they did nothing it was misprision of felony. Our Sheriffs are de facto defined in Blacks' 6th edition, page 416, as "illegal" or "illegitimate".

The verdict of this Petit Jury found that the Sheriffs on Oregon must serve to protect the people and their property rather than acting as an arm of the de facto governance and is hereby verified and witnessed by:

Court Clerk *Ronald Charles Vrooman* this day *10/21*, 2024

Court Foreman *Tonja Swift* *21* this day *oct*, 2024

1. *Anne Byer* *10/21/2024* 2. *Cynthia Palmatier* *10/21/2024*

Oregon Statewide Jural Assembly

OSJA, General Delivery, Beaverton, Oregon [97005]; Contact: Ron Vrooman at ronvrooman38@gmail.com, www.ORSJA.org

Cause 10212024-PT Issue No.7-Statehood Docs Access. Sheriffs

Petit Trial Verdict

No. 7. Oregon's de jure Statehood Documents circa 1849 unavailable

On or about June 2019 Deputy Sec of State Richard Vial and Archives Director Stephanie Clark made an appointment with our Court of four. Roger Tabb, Al Brown, Ray Senkel, and Ron Vrooman made an appointment to view Oregon's Statehood Documents and to find proof to validate the change made to ARTICLE VII of November 8, 1910.

Neither of them was forthcoming when our Court arrived.

Assuming this might happen, we served our Declaration upon the Oregon Senate, the office of Sec. of State, and the office of the Attorney General mentioned with our Claim against ARTICLE VII.

Evidential Explanation

Briefly, the State of Oregon sells copies of the 1857 Oregon Constitution for 75 dollars and that is alleged to be what was sent to Washington, D.C. There is no evidence to substantiate this claim.

Matthew Deady, an attorney and Oregon historian, wrote two large books published by the official press for Oregon History. He writes in both books that he saw the statehood documents and that Amendment 13, anti-Title of Nobility Act, was not included. Both books were published after Grant and Lee signed an armistice.

Therefore, we know these statehood documents made it back to Oregon. Deputy Secretary of State Richard Vial, however, claimed no knowledge of where they were or how to produce them. Stephanie in State Archives remained silent.

At best, the office of Sec. of State's Deputy Richard Vial -- no longer with the Sec. of State -- could not, would not, and did not abide by the viewing at our pre-arranged showing.

The current Deputy Secretary of State, Cheryl Myers, will not provide a proper oath and she will not validate ARTICLE VII nor will she permit a viewing of our Statehood document.

This looks like a double paradox: ARTICLE VII (Amended) -- which is in our Constitution and should not be. This is juxtaposed to Amendment XIII -- which is not in our Statehood documents and should be.

The verdict of this Petit Jury found that the Sheriffs on Oregon must serve to protect the people and their property rather than acting as an arm of the de facto governance and is hereby verified and witnessed by:

Court Clerk *Ron Vrooman* this day 10/21, 2024

Court Foreman *Roger Tabb* this day Oct, 2024

1. *Anne Byer* 10/21/2021 2. *Cynthia Palmatier* 10/21/2024

Oregon Statewide Jural Assembly

OSJA, General Delivery, Beaverton, Oregon [97005]; Contact: Ron Vrooman at ronvrooman38@gmail.com, www.ORSJA.org

Cause 10212024-PT Issue No.8-5G. mRNA. Surveillance

Petit Trial Verdict

No. 8 5G concerns, how related to the mRNA jabs (COVID shots) and surveillance

Regarding 5G networks in Oregon, there is a division of opinions regarding 5G frequencies. The safety of 5G has never been tested. 4G safety has never been verified even though it has been widely available for 10-plus years. Many studies have provided insight into the link between 5G and neurological issues, cardiac issues, and cancer, to name a few examples.

Evidential Explanation

The majority of the Oregon public has taken at least one COVID shot, the components of which vary greatly by batch. We are currently aware of modified mRNA (mmRNA), hydrogel, multiple heavy metals, graphene oxide/hydroxide, and fluorescent microrobots (Q dots) present in varying amounts in most COVID shots.

As a result of shedding and a contaminated food supply (which is primarily the result of strategic aerosol injection), most humans and living organisms are corrupted with the above substances. This has been substantiated by numerous professionals worldwide including OSJA (Oregon Statewide Jural Assembly) studies. These adulterants are directed to self-assemble and create networks to transmit and receive information via 5G and 'the cloud' regarding our physiological workings and thoughts. This has been discussed and published in academic, military, government and 'private' front company white papers for over 20 years.

This 'artificial intelligence' within, parasitizes us for its energy needs.

The verdict of this Petit Jury found that the Sheriffs on Oregon must serve to protect the people and their property rather than acting as an arm of the de facto governance and is hereby verified and witnessed by:

Court Clerk *Ron Vrooman* this day 10/21, 2024

Court Foreman *Tanya Swift* 21 this day Oct, 2024

1. *Anne Byer* 10/21/2024 2. *Cynthia Palmatier* 10/21/2024

Oregon Statewide Jural Assembly

OSJA, General Delivery, Beaverton, Oregon [97005]; Contact: Ron Vrooman at ronvrooman38@gmail.com, www.ORSJA.org
Cause 10212024-PT Issue No.9.Fluoridation.ADA.Enforcement

Petit Trial Verdict

No. 9. Toxic fluoridation chemicals MUST BE REMOVED from all drinking water sources statewide

The people on Oregon demand all water providers in the State of Oregon, aka THE STATE OF OREGON, INC., DUNS #932534998, immediately cease and desist the toxification of our water supplies. With what we know now - and have for decades - fluoridation adds toxic chemicals with numerous harmful health effects and MUST BE REMOVED from all drinking water sources statewide. No longer should this be a county-to-county or city-to-city decision, but should be ceased all across our state.

Evidential Explanation

The people in each Oregon county are expected to vote whether water fluoridation chemicals are added to their water, as well as needing to vote for their removal. We are the people now voting in our Oregon statewide Grand Jury to eliminate the proven-to-be harmful, toxic fluoridation chemicals - some known as fluorosilicic acid - from our drinking water.

In the months prior to Multnomah County voters denying fluoridation of their water supply, Washington County efforts to stop Washington County's Tualatin Valley Water District (TVWD) adding fluorosilicic acid were denied on the basis that since the voters agreed to their addition in the 1960's, to have them removed would require a county-wide vote approving their removal. This was the ultimatum given for their removal from our drinking water - per the Board of TVWD, Washington County's water provider. This is no doubt the plight of other counties on Oregon. Once voted in, it's hard to get them out!

With what we know now - and have for decades - these are toxic chemicals with harmful effects and MUST STOP BEING ADDED into all drinking water providers statewide; not just county-to-county or city-to-city, but across our state. The people on Oregon demand all water providers in the State of Oregon, aka THE STATE OF OREGON, INC., DUNS #932534998, immediately cease and desist the toxification of our water supplies.

"Fluoride" is added to our water as a one-size-fits-all "drug" claiming the prevention of tooth decay. On the contrary, fluoridation chemicals have been proven to adversely affect all populations -- especially children's cognitive development, populations of color, and vulnerable others, and are still being administered. These factors that contribute to poor health are seldom addressed and should be considering this is added as a "drug" allegedly to positively affect tooth development and decay prevention. Contrarily no side effects warnings are provided as required by other drugs administered, but are, in fact denied by most dental and medical professionals who were trained to believe in their importance and will show up in droves as "experts" to decry and deny any ill effects, but rather stress the importance of their addition.

Much of the support comes from the American Dental Association (A.D.A.) and medical (allopathic), and public health communities who have been trained (indoctrinated - as has the public) to believe these waste-product chemicals are beneficial -- even necessary -- for good oral health. In the case of TVWD, fluorosilicic acid in powder form is imported from China and is a commercial waste bi-product. The trusting population follows their dentist or (pediatric) doctor's recommendations -- often-times, unquestioningly, as them having ultimate knowledge and authority, oblivious to the detrimental side-effects of this additive that should be a choice, not a "prescription".

Marketing ploys and "safe and effective" claims no longer deceive our informed jurors no voting and likely demanding the immediate removal of all such additives to our precious water -- a resource that is imperative for our very sustenance and survival. Our Common Law Grand Jury has a preponderance of evidence and can cite years of studies and evidence of the harmful effects of water fluoridation.

The verdict of this Petit Jury found that the Sheriffs on Oregon must serve to protect the people and their property rather than acting as an arm of the de facto governance and is hereby verified and witnessed by:

Court Clerk [Signature] this day 10/21, 2024

Court Foreman [Signature] this day Oct, 2024

1. Anne Byer 10/21/2024 2. Cynthia Palmatier 10/21/2024

Oregon Statewide Jural Assembly

OSJA, General Delivery, Beaverton, Oregon [97005]; Contact: Ron Vrooman at ronvrooman38@gmail.com, www.ORSJA.org

Cause 10212024-PT Issue No. 10-OR State Bar. AMA. ADA

Petit Trial Verdict

No.10 Oregon State Bar (OSB), AMA, & ADA as a pervasive, dictatorial governance entity

All state licensing boards have 'dictatorial powers' over their licensees – Oregon is no different. These boards are granted 'qualified immunity' (that plays out as 'absolute immunity') by the state and federal courts for any decision they make right or wrong, even if the latter was intentional. The Oregon State Bar (OSB) and the Oregon Medical Board (OMB) are both representative of these behaviors. All Oregon boards act unconstitutionally, in particular when it comes to due process rights. The OSB, the OMB, the Oregon Health Authority (OHA), Oregon's current and past Governors, Oregon State's Congress and numerous other Oregon state and federal bureaucrats (including state and federal courts) have been notified and informed of all concerns regarding the running and regulation of state boards, but nothing has changed. 'Public servants' are not there for you, but to protect their own interests.

Evidential Explanation

The 2015 SCOTUS decision in North Carolina Dental Board of Examiners v FTC (NCDB v FTC) clearly declares that state boards are not afforded state immunity, because they are defined legally as trade associations with their current arrangements and lack of 'meaningful' oversight. The Supreme Court decision explicitly defines what is required to be the recipient of 'qualified/sovereign immunity'. According to a number of legal authorities, as a result of NCDB v FTC, all board members are at grave risk of being sued in their person, as opposed to the state being sued. A US DOJ Antitrust Division letter - "STATEMENT OF INTEREST ON BEHALF OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA" to the Florida State Bar and the Southern Miami Federal District Court dated March 12, 2018 upholds the NCDB v FTC decision stating that the Florida State Bar (FSB) has no state qualified legal immunity.

The Federation of State Medical Boards (FSMB), an 'advocate' for State Medical Boards, is a 501(c)6 which means it can take in anonymous donations – only the amount is known, but not the donor. What happens with the money after that, other than paying expenses, is unknown. The FSMB has already been investigated in the past for taking a 'donation' (bribe) from Purdue Pharmaceuticals to push Oxycontin. The FSMB's own internal documentation unequivocally states they will be attacking Integrative Medicine physicians over money...and they have done it for over 20 years. Most, if not all, licensing goes through the FSMB.

The OSB requires all their licensees to purchase a minimum of \$350,000 of malpractice insurance through the OMB self-insurance program. Therefore, when an attorney is sued, the OSB will fight the suit because they have a vested financial interest in the outcome...and this is considered 'protecting the public'. Otherwise, the OSB removes any attorney who doesn't tow the OSB line. The OSB states they have immunity to lawsuit because of Oregon Supreme Court oversight, but just like the FSB, NCDB v FTC refutes their 'misunderstanding'.

[Of note: the AMA and ADA and similar such organizations are definitely full of the same kind of folks on boards, but they do not have direct control over any board. Their statements do hold sway regarding medical treatment such as with COVID. These "nutters" are all intertwined.]

The verdict of this Petit Jury found that the Sheriffs on Oregon must serve to protect the people and their property rather than acting as an arm of the de facto governance and is hereby verified and witnessed by:

Court Clerk *Ron Vrooman* this day 10/24, 2024

Court Foreman *Tanja Swift* this day Oct, 2024

1. *Anne Byer* 10/21/2024 2. *Cynthia Palmatier* 10/21/2024